Memorandum

To: Technical Advisory Committee

**FROM:** KEITH CRONIN, PROJECT MANAGER, and SAM DENT, TECHNICAL LEAD - VEIC

subject: v13.0 Errata Measures effective 01/01/2025

**Date:** 12/13/2024

**Cc:** CELIA JOHNSON, SAG

This memo documents errata changes to Version 13.0 of the Illinois Technical Reference Manual (TRM) that the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) recommends be made effective 01/01/2025.

VEIC has provided a summary table below showing the errata measures and a brief summary of what was changed, followed by the v13.0 measures themselves.

TRM Policy Document, Section 3.2.1, states that,

“TAC participants should notify the TAC when a TRM mistake or omission is found. If a significant mistake or omission is found in the TRM that results in an unreasonable savings estimate, the Program Administrators, Evaluators, TRM Administrator, and TAC will strive to reach consensus on a solution that will result in a reasonable savings estimate. For example, an unreasonable savings estimate may result from an error or omission in the TRM.

“In these limited cases where consensus is reached, the TRM Administrator shall inform the Evaluators to use corrected TRM algorithms and inputs to calculate energy and capacity savings, in addition to using the Commission-approved TRM algorithms and inputs to calculate savings. If the corrected TRM algorithms and inputs are stipulated for acceptance by all the parties in the Program Administrator’s savings docket, then the corrected TRM savings verification values may be used for the purpose of measuring savings toward compliance with the Program Administrator’s energy savings goals. Errors and omissions found in the TRM will be officially corrected through the annual TRM Update proceeding and will be identified as ‘Errata’.”

It is our belief and understanding that the following measures have been determined to be consensus errata by the Program Administrators, Evaluators, and the entire TAC. The term ‘errata’ is used to describe these measures, and in accordance with the TRM Policy Document, the Evaluators may use this version of the measures during evaluation of the current program year (in addition to the measures currently in Version 12.0 of the TRM).

**Summary of Errata Measures**

| **Section** | **Measure Name** | **Measure Code** | **Brief Summary of Change** | **TAC Reviewed and Approved As of** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 4.4.9 | Air and Water Source Heat Pump Systems (Centrally Ducted and Ductless) | CI-HVC-HPSY-V13-250101 | Error in ASHPSiteHeatingImpact algorithm for non-fuel switch measures >60kBtuh. A 3412 btu/kWh factor is provided since calculation uses COP for efficiency, however it was erroneously only applied to the baseline heatload. | New |
| 4.4.60 | Variable Refrigerant Flow HVAC System | CI-HVC-VFFY-V4-250101 | Energy saving algorithm for units >65 kBtu/hr should use IEER rather than EER.  | New |

### 4.4.9 Air and Water Source Heat Pump Systems (Centrally Ducted and Ductless)

**Description**

This measure applies to the installation of high-efficiency air cooled and water source heat pump systems with conditioned air delivered to the building via ductwork, ductless systems and “hybrid” systems that work in conjunction with fuel-fired heating systems. This measure could apply to replacing an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or installation of a new unit in a new or existing building.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

**Definition of Efficient** **Equipment**

In order for this characterization to apply, the efficient equipment is assumed to be a high-efficiency air cooled or water source, heat pump system that exceeds the baseline and meets program requirements.

**Definition of Baseline Equipment**

**New construction / Time of Sale:** To calculate savings with an electric baseline, the baseline equipment is assumed to be a standard-efficiency air cooled or water source heat pump system that meets the Code energy efficiency requirements (IECC or Code of Federal Regulations whichever is higher) in effect on the date of equipment purchase (if date unknown assume current Code minimum). The rating conditions for the baseline and efficient equipment efficiencies must be equivalent.

To calculate savings with a furnace/ AC baseline, the baseline equipment is assumed to meet the Code energy efficiency requirements (IECC or Code of Federal Regulations whichever is higher).

Where unknown, the baseline should be determined via EM&V and the algorithms are provided to allow savings to be calculated from any baseline condition.

In order for this characterization to apply, the baseline equipment is assumed to meet the efficiency requirements within the IECC code in effect on the date of the building permit. As code requirements and adoption can differ from municipality to municipality, the user should verify which version of code is applicable given these constraints.

Note, IECC 2021 is became effective statewide on 1/1/2024. IECC 2018 is the requisite code for any projects with permitting dates spanning July 1, 2019 to 12/31/2023. Prior to July 1, 2019, IECC 2015 is the applicable code. If evaluation determines the applicable version of code, given location and timing, isn’t an appropriate baseline due to supply constraints, low compliance, or other issues, the previous iteration of code may be used through 2023.

IECC 2021 leverages new DOE testing methods and associated metrics. The following conversion factors are recommended for use if the efficient equipment is not rated under the new testing procedure but the stipulated baseline is:[[1]](#footnote-2)

 SEER2 = X \* SEER

 EER2 = X \* EER

 HSPF2 = X \* HSPF

Where:

| **X** | **SEER2** | **EER2** | **HSPF2** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ducted | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.85 |
| Ductless | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.90 |
| Packaged | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.84 |

Note: new Federal Standards affecting heat pumps and air conditioning equipment became effective January 1, 2023.

**Early replacement / Retrofit:** The baseline for this measure is the efficiency of the *existing* heating and cooling equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the existing unit and a new baseline heating and cooling system meeting the code energy efficiency requirements (IECC or Code of Federal Regulations whichever is higher) for the remainder of the measure life.

A weighted average early replacement rate is provided for use in programs when the actual baseline early replacement rates are unknown.

Deemed Early Replacement Rates For ASHP[[2]](#footnote-3)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Equipment Type** | **Full System Displacement** | **Partial System Displacement** |
| Cooling | 30% | 30% |
| Heating | 30% | 100% |

Note to apply these deemed early replacement rates, an assumption of the percentage of replacements that are full displacement v partial displacement is required. This should be determined through evaluation, or a deemed ratio of 100% Full Displacement for ducted ASHPs and 50% Full: 50% Partial for Ductless ASHPs can be used. Savings should be calculated following both the full and partial displacement methodology and then this ratio should be used to weight the savings accordingly.

###### Deemed Lifetime of Efficient Equipment

The expected measure life is assumed to be 16 years.[[3]](#footnote-4)

Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years for ASHP and Central AC, 6 years for furnace, 8 years for boilers[[4]](#footnote-5) and 16 years for electric resistance.[[5]](#footnote-6)

###### Deemed Measure Cost

Ducted Air Source Heat Pumps:

New Construction and Time of Sale: For analysis purposes, the incremental capital cost for this measure is assumed as $100 per ton for air-cooled units.[[6]](#footnote-7) The incremental cost for all other equipment types should be determined on a site-specific basis.

Early Replacement: The actual full installation cost of the Heat Pump (including any necessary electrical or distribution upgrades required) should be used. The assumed deferred cost of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit should also be incorporated.

Ductless Minisplit Heat Pumps:

New Construction and Time of Sale: The actual installed cost of the DMSHP (including any necessary electrical or distribution upgrades required) should be used (defaults are provided below), minus the assumed installation cost of the baseline equipment ($6,562 + $600 per ton for ASHP,[[7]](#footnote-8) or $2,011 for a new baseline 80% AFUE furnace, or $4,053 for a new 84% AFUE boiler,[[8]](#footnote-9) and $952 per ton for new baseline Central AC replacement [[9]](#footnote-10)).

Default full cost of the DMSHP is provided below. Note, for smaller units a minimum cost of $2,000 should be applied:[[10]](#footnote-11)

| **Unit Size** | **Full Install Cost ($/ton)[[11]](#footnote-12)** |
| --- | --- |
| 9-9.9 | $1,443 |
| 10-10.9 | $1,605 |
| 11-12.9 | $1,715 |
| 13+ | $2,041 |

The incremental cost of the DSMHP compared to a baseline minimum efficiency DSMHP is provided in the table below:[[12]](#footnote-13)

| **Efficiency (HSPF2)** | **Incremental Cost ($/ton) over an HSPF2 7.5 DHP** |
| --- | --- |
| 8.1-8.9 | $62 |
| 9-9.8 | $224 |
| 9.9-11.6 | $334 |
| 11.7+ | $660 |

Early Replacement/retrofit (replacing existing equipment): The actual full installation cost of the DMSHP (including any necessary electrical or distribution upgrades required) should be used. The assumed deferred cost (after 8 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit is assumed to be $7,527 + $688 per ton for a new baseline Air Source Heat Pump, or $2,296 for a new baseline 80% AFUE furnace or $4,627 for a new 84% AFUE boiler and $1,047 per ton for new baseline Central AC replacement.[[13]](#footnote-14) If replacing electric resistance heat, there is no deferred replacement cost. This future cost should be discounted to present value using the nominal societal discount rate.

Where the DMSHP is a supplemental HVAC system, the full installation cost of the DMSHP (including any necessary electrical or distribution upgrades required) should be used without a deferred replacement cost.

If the install cost is unknown a default is provided above. Fuel switch scenarios are likely to require additional installation work which may include adding new electrical circuits, capping existing gas lines and upgrading electrical panels. These costs are likely to range significantly and actual values should be used wherever possible. If unknown, assume an additional $300 for fuel switch installations.

###### Loadshape

Loadshape C05 - Commercial Electric Heating and Cooling

###### Coincidence Factor

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM’s capacity market.  Both values provided are based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren.

CFSSP = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Commercial cooling (during system peak hour)

= 91.3% [[14]](#footnote-15)

CFPJM = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Commercial cooling (average during peak period)

= 47.8% [[15]](#footnote-16)

**Algorithm**

###### Calculation of Savings

###### Electric And Fossil Fuel Energy Savings

Non fuel switch measures:

 ΔkWhNon Fuel Switch = ASHPSiteCoolingImpact + ASHPSiteHeatingImpact

Where:

For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr (ASHP only):

ASHPSiteCoolingImpact = ((CoolingLoad/DuctlessSave \* (1/(SEER2\_base))) – (CoolingLoad \* 1/(SEER2\_ee )))/1,000

ASHPSiteHeatingImpact = ((HeatLoad\_Disp/DuctlessSave \* (1/(HSPF2\_base \* HSPF2\_ClimateAdj))) – (HeatLoad\_Disp \* 1/(HSPF2\_ee \* HSPF2\_ClimateAdj))) / 1,000

For ASHP units with cooling capacities equal to or greater than 65 kBtu/hr and all WSHPs:

 ΔkWhNon Fuel Switch = ASHPSiteCoolingImpact + ASHPSiteHeatingImpact

Where:

ASHPSiteCoolingImpact = ((CoolingLoad \* (1/(IEER\_base))) – (CoolingLoad \* 1/(IEER\_ee )))/1,000

ASHPSiteHeatingImpact = (HeatLoad\_Disp / 3,412 \* (1/COP\_base – 1/COP\_ee)) / 1000

Fuel switch measures:

Fuel switch measures must produce positive total lifecycle energy savings (i.e., reduction in Btus at the premises) in order to qualify. This is determined as follows (note for early replacement measures the lifetime savings should be calculated by calculating savings for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment and for the remaining measure life):

SiteEnergySavings (MMBTUs) = FuelSwitchSavings + NonFuelSwitchSavings

FuelSwitchSavings = GasHeatReplaced - HPSiteHeatConsumed

NonFuelSwitchSavings = FurnaceFanSavings + HPSiteCoolingImpact

For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr (ASHP only):

ASHPSiteHeatConsumed = ((HeatLoad\_Disp \* (1/(HSPF2\_ee \* HSPF2\_ClimateAdj \* PD\_Adj))) /1,000 \* 3,412)/ 1,000,000

ASHPSiteCoolingImpact = (((CoolingLoad/DuctlessSave \* (1/(SEER2\_base)) – ((CoolingLoad \* 1/(SEER2\_ee)))/1,000 \* 3412)/ 1,000,000

FurnaceFanSavings = (FurnaceFlag \* HeatLoad\_Disp/DuctlessSave \* 1/AFUEbase \* Fe) / 1,000,000

GasHeatReplaced = (HeatLoad\_Disp/DuctlessSave \* 1/AFUEbase) / 1,000,000

For ASHP units with cooling capacities greater than 65 kBtu/hr and all WSHPs:

ASHPSiteHeatConsumed = ((HeatLoad\_Disp \* (1/(COP\_ee \* PD\_Adj))) /1000 \* 3,412)/ 1,000,000

ASHPSiteCoolingImpact = (((CoolingLoad \* (1/(IEER\_base)) – ((CoolingLoad \* 1/(IEER\_ee)))/1,000 \* 3,412)/ 1,000,000

FurnaceFanSavings = (FurnaceFlag \* HeatLoad\_Disp \* 1/AFUEbase \* Fe) / 1,000,000

GasHeatReplaced = (HeatLoad\_Disp \* 1/AFUEbase) / 1,000,000

If SiteEnergySavings calculated above is positive, the measure is eligible.

The appropriate savings claim is dependent on which utilities are supporting the measure as provided in a table below:

| **Measure supported by:** | **Electric Utility claims (kWh):** | **Gas Utility claims (therms):** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Electric utility only | SiteEnergySavings \* 1,000,000/3,412 | N/A |
| Electric and gas utility(Note utilities may make alternative agreements to how savings are allocated as long as total MMBtu savings remains the same). | %IncentiveElectric \* SiteEnergySavings \*1,000,000/3,412 | %IncentiveGas \* SiteEnergySavings \* 10 |
| Gas utility only | N/A | SiteEnergySavings \* 10 |

Note for Early Replacement measures, the efficiency terms of the existing unit should be used for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP and Central AC, 6 years for furnace, 8 years for boilers, 15 years for electric resistance), and the efficiency terms for a new baseline unit should be used for the remaining years of the measure. See assumptions below.

Programs where existing system unknown

In programs where the existing fuel or system type is unknown, savings should be apportioned between the Fuel Switch and Non- Fuel Switch scenarios, as follows:

 Savings from Non-Fuel Switch (kWh) = (1 – %FuelSwitch) \* ΔkWhNon Fuel Switch

Plus

Savings from Fuel Switch (MMBtu converted to appropriate fuel as table above)

 = %FuelSwitch \* SiteEnergySavings (MMBTUs)

Where:

%FuelSwitch = The percentage of replacements resulting in fuel-switching.

= 1 when fuel switching is known, 0 if non fuel switch

= when unknown, e.g. midstream program, determine via evaluation

CoolingLoad = Annual cooling load for the building

 = EFLHcool \* Capacitycool

Capacitycool = Output capacity of the cooling equipment in Btu per hour (1 ton of cooling capacity equals 12,000 Btu/hr).

 = Actual installed

SEER2base = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of the baseline equipment

= SEER from tables below, based on the applicable Code on the date of equipment purchase (if unknown assume current Code).

SEER2ee = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of the energy efficient equipment.

 = Actual installed

EFLHcool = Equivalent Full Load Hours for cooling in Existing Buildings or New Construction are provided in section 4.4 HVAC End Use.

DuctlessSave = Factor used to adjust ducted heating or cooling load displaced by ductless systems that are not subject to losses from existing ductwork.

 = 1-0.15 = 0.85 for ducted system displaced by ductless system

 = 1.00 for ducted system displaced by ducted system or ductless system displaced by ductless system

HSPF2base = Heating Seasonal Performance Factor of the baseline equipment

 = HSPF from tables below, based on the applicable Code on the date of equipment purchase (if unknown assume a blended baseline value of 5.1 HSPF2[[16]](#footnote-17)).

HSPF2ee = Heating Seasonal Performance Factor of the energy efficient equipment.

 = Actual installed. If rating is COP, HSPF = COP \* 3.413

HSPF\_ClimateAdj = Adjustment factor to account for observed discrepency between seasonal heating performance relative to rated HSPF as provided by standard AHRI 210/240 rating conditions. Note, the adjustment is dependent on the test method use for the rating (i.e. HSPF or HSPF2 rating) [[17]](#footnote-18):

| **City (county based upon)** | **HSPF\_ClimateAdj****When using HSPF2 rating** |
| --- | --- |
| 1 (Rockford) | 77% |
| 2 (Chicago) | 77% |
| 3 (Springfield) | 91% |
| 4 (Belleville) | 91% |
| 5 (Marion) | 91% |
| Weighted Average[[18]](#footnote-19)ComEdAmerenStatewide | 77%89%80% |

IEERbase = Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio of the baseline equipment

= IEER (or EER2) from tables below, based on the applicable Code on the date of equipment purchase (if unknown assume current Code). For air-cooled units < 65 kBtu/hr, assume the following conversion from SEER2 to EER2 for calculation of peak savings:[[19]](#footnote-20)

EER2 = (-0.02 \* SEER22) + (1.12 \* SEER2)

IEERee = Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio (or EER2) of the energy efficient equipment. For air-cooled units < 65 kBtu/hr, if the actual EER2ee is unknown, assume the conversion from SEER2 to EER2 as provided above.

 = Actual installed

HeatLoad\_Disp = Annual heat load for the building displaced by the ASHP (Btus)

 = EFLHheat \* Capacityheat \* HeatLoadFactor

EFLHheat = heating mode equivalent full load hours in Existing Buildings or New Construction are provided in section 4.4 HVAC End Use.

Capacityheat = output capacity of the heat pump equipment in Btu per hour.

 = Actual installed

HeatLoadFactor = Portion of HeatLoad displaced by ASHP in partial displacement applications. Varies by Switchover Temperature and Climate Region. If Switchover Temperature is unknown, use 32°F.

= 1.0 if full displacement (e.g. cold climate heat pumps and water source heat pumps) or if switchover temperature is lower than 17°F or if Partial Displacement with simultaneous operation

| **Climate Zone****(City based upon)** | **HeatLoadFactor (by Switchover Temperature)[[20]](#footnote-21)** |
| --- | --- |
| **47°F** | **44°F** | **41°F** | **38°F** | **35°F** | **32°F** | **29°F** | **26°F** | **23°F** | **20°F** | **17°F** |
| 1 (Rockford) | 4% | 8% | 12% | 16% | 26% | 36% | 45% | 58% | 66% | 71% | 78% |
| 2 (Chicago) | 4% | 9% | 15% | 21% | 32% | 43% | 52% | 66% | 74% | 77% | 84% |
| 3 (Springfield) | 4% | 9% | 15% | 21% | 37% | 52% | 59% | 69% | 76% | 79% | 85% |
| 4 (Belleville) | 7% | 14% | 22% | 30% | 41% | 55% | 66% | 77% | 85% | 90% | 93% |
| 5 (Marion) | 7% | 16% | 25% | 34% | 53% | 67% | 76% | 86% | 90% | 93% | 97% |
| Weighted Average[[21]](#footnote-22)ComEdAmerenStatewide | 4%5%4% | 9%11%9% | 15%17%16% | 20%24%21% | 31%38%33% | 43%52%45% | 51%60%54% | 66%71%67% | 73%79%75% | 77%82%79% | 84%88%85% |

PD\_Adj = Adjustment multiplier to account for increased heat pump efficiency in Partial Displacement applications when there is no electric resistance backup and switchover temperature is higher than 17F. Varies by Switchover Temperature and Climate Region. If Switchover Temperature is unknown, use 32F.

 = 1.0 if full displacement (e.g. cold climate heat pumps or water source heat pumps) or if switchover temperature is lower than 17F or if Partial Displacement with simultaneous operation

| **Climate Zone****(City based upon)** | **PD\_Adj (by Switchover Temperature) [[22]](#footnote-23)** |
| --- | --- |
| **47°F** | **44°F** | **41°F** | **38°F** | **35°F** | **32°F** | **29°F** | **26°F** | **23°F** | **20°F** | **17°F** |
| 1 (Rockford) | 153% | 149% | 146% | 143% | 138% | 134% | 132% | 128% | 126% | 124% | 122% |
| 2 (Chicago) | 153% | 148% | 145% | 142% | 138% | 134% | 132% | 128% | 126% | 125% | 123% |
| 3 (Springfield) | 153% | 148% | 145% | 142% | 137% | 133% | 132% | 129% | 128% | 127% | 125% |
| 4 (Belleville) | 152% | 149% | 145% | 143% | 139% | 135% | 133% | 131% | 128% | 127% | 126% |
| 5 (Marion) | 153% | 148% | 145% | 142% | 138% | 135% | 134% | 131% | 130% | 129% | 128% |
| Weighted Average[[23]](#footnote-24)ComEdAmerenStatewide | 153%153%153% | 148%148%148% | 145%145%145% | 142%142%142% | 138%138%138% | 134%134%134% | 132%132%132% | 128%130%129% | 126%128%127% | 125%127%126% | 123%125%124% |

3412 = Btu per kWh.

COPbase = coefficient of performance of the baseline equipment

 = COP from tables below, based on the applicable Code on the date of equipment purchase (if unknown assume current Code). If rating is HSPF2, COP = HSPF2 / 3.413

COPee = coefficient of performance of the energy efficient equipment.

 = Actual installed. If rating is HSPF2, COP = HSPF2 / 3.413

AFUEbase = Baseline Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating. For early replacement measures, use actual AFUE rating for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for furnace, 8 years for boilers). For new systems (time of sale, new construction or remaining years of early replacement), use appropriate code level efficiency.

FurnaceFlag = 1 if system replaced is a gas furnace, 0 if not.

Fe = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

 = 7.7%[[24]](#footnote-25)

%IncentiveElectric = % of total incentive paid by electric utility

 = Actual

%IncentiveGas = % of total incentive paid by gas utility

 = Actual

**Code of Federal Redulations (baseline effective 1/1/2019):[[25]](#footnote-26)**

| **Equipment type** | **Cooling capacity** | **Heating type** | **Cooling Efficiency level** | **Heating Efficiency level** | **Compliance date** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Small Commercial Packaged Air Conditioning and Heating Equipment (Air-Cooled) | ≥65,000 Btu/h and <135,000 Btu/h | Electric Resistance Heating or No Heating | IEER = 12.2IEER = 14.1 | N/A | 1/1/20181/1/2024 |
| All Other Types of Heating | IEER = 12.0IEER = 13.9 | COP = 3.3COP = 3.4 | 1/1/20181/1/2024 |
| Large Commercial Packaged Air Conditioning and Heating Equipment (Air-Cooled) | ≥135,000 Btu/h and <240,000 Btu/h | Electric Resistance Heating or No Heating | IEER = 11.6IEER = 13.5 | N/A | 1/1/20181/1/2024 |
| All Other Types of Heating | IEER = 11.4IEER = 13.3 | COP = 3.2COP = 3.3 | 1/1/20181/1/2024 |
| Very Large Commercial Packaged Air Conditioning and Heating Equipment (Air-Cooled) | ≥240,000 Btu/h and <760,000 Btu/h | Electric Resistance Heating or No Heating | IEER = 10.6IEER = 12.5 | N/A | 1/1/20181/1/2024 |
| All Other Types of Heating | IEER = 10.4IEER = 12.3 | COP = 3.2 | 1/1/20181/1/2024 |
| Small Commercial Package Air-Conditioning and Heating Equipment (Air-Cooled, Single-Phase, Split-System) | <65,000 Btu/h | All | SEER2 = 14.3 EER2 = 9.4 | HSPF2 = 7.5 | 1/1/2023 |
| Small Commercial Package Air-Conditioning and Heating Equipment (Air-Cooled, Single-Phase, Single-Package) | <65,000Btu/h | All | SEER2 = 13.4 EER2 = 8.8 | HSPF2 = 6.7 | 1/1/2023 |
| Small Commercial Package Air-Conditioning and Heating Equipment (Air-Cooled, 3-Phase, Split-System) | <65,000 Btu/h | All | SEER2 = 14.3 EER2 = 9.4 | HSPF2 = 7.5 | 1/1/2025 |
| Small Commercial Package Air-Conditioning and Heating Equipment (Air-Cooled, 3-Phase, Single-Package) | <65,000Btu/h | All | SEER2 = 13.4 EER2 = 8.8 | HSPF2 = 6.7 | 1/1/2025 |
| Small Commercial Packaged Air-Conditioning and Heating Equipment (Water Source: Water-to-Air, Water-Loop) | <17,000 Btu/h | All | EER = 12.2 | COP = 4.3 | 10/9/2015 |
| ≥17,000 Btu/h and <65,000 Btu/h | All | EER = 13.0 | COP = 4.3 | 10/9/2015 |
| ≥65,000 Btu/h and <135,000Btu/h | All | EER = 13.0 | COP = 4.3 | 10/9/2015 |

Minimum Efficiency Requirements: 2015 IECC (baseline effective 1/1/2016 to 6/30/2019)



Minimum Efficiency Requirements: 2018 IECC (baseline effective 7/1/2019 to 9/30/2022)



***IECC2018 Table C403.3.2(2) continued from previous page:***



Minimum Efficiency Requirements: 2021 IECC (baseline effective 10/1/2022 for New Construction measures)



***IECC2021 Table C403.3.2(2) continued from previous page***



**Non Fuel Switch example**, a 5-ton single phase split system 60,000 Btuh capacity heat pump, with an efficiency SEER2 of 16, and an efficient HSPF2 of 9.5, at a new restaurant in Chicago with a building permit dated after 1/1/2023 saves:

ΔkWh= Annual kWh Savingscool + Annual kWh Savingsheat

Annual kWh Savingscool = (Capacitycool \* EFLHcool \* (1/SEERbase – 1/SEERee))/1000

Annual kWh Savingsheat = (HeatLoad \* (1/(HSPFbase \* HSPF\_ClimateAdj) – 1/(HSPFee\* HSPF\_ClimateAdj)/1000

ΔkWh = (60,000 \* 761 \* (1/14.3 – 1/16))/1000 + (60,000 \* 797 \* (1/(7.5 \* 0.7) – 1/(9.5 \* 0.7))/1000

= 2257 kWh

**Fuel Switch Illustrative Examples**

*[for illustrative purposes 50:50 Incentive is used for joint programs]*

New construction using gas furnace and central AC baseline:

For example, a 60,000 Btu, 16 SEER2, 9.5 HSPF2 single phase split system Air Site Heat Pump installed in a new Chicago restaurant, in place of a 120,000 Btuh natural gas furnace and 5 ton Central AC unit:

SiteEnergySavings (MMBTUs) = GasHeatReplaced + FurnaceFanSavings - HPSiteHeatConsumed + HPSiteCoolingImpact

GasHeatReplaced = (HeatLoad \* 1/AFUEbase) / 1,000,000

= (60,000 \* 797 \* 1/0.8) / 1000000

 = 59.8 MMBtu

FurnaceFanSavings = (FurnaceFlag \* HeatLoad \* 1/AFUEbase \* Fe) / 1,000,000

 = (1 \* 60,000 \* 797 \* 1/0.8 \* 0.077) / 1,000,000

 = 4.6 MMBtu

HPSiteHeatConsumed = ((HeatLoad \* (1/(HSPFee \* HSPF\_ClimateAdj))) /1000 \* 3412)/ 1,000,000

 = ((60,000 \* 797 \* (1/(9.5 \* 0.77))) / 1000 \* 3412) / 1,000,000

 = 22.3 MMBtu

HPSiteCoolingImpact = ((FLHcool \* Capacitycool \* (1/SEERbase - 1/SEERee))/1000 \* 3412)/ 1,000,000

= ((761 \* 60,000 \* (1/14.3 - 1/16)) / 1000 \* 3412)/1,000,000

= 1.2 MMBtu

SiteEnergySavings (MMBTUs) = 59.8 + 4.6 – 22.3 + 1.2 = 43.3 MMBtu [Measure is eligible]

**Fuel Switch Illustrative Example continued**

Savings would be claimed as follows:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Measure supported by:** | **Electric Utility claims:** | **Gas Utility claims:** |
| Electric utility only | 43.3 \* 1,000,000/3412= 12,691 kWh | N/A |
| Electric and gas utility | 0.5 \* 43.3 \* 1,000,000/3412= 6,345 kWh | 0.5 \* 43.3 \* 10= 217 Therms |
| Gas utility only | N/A | 43.3 \* 10= 433 Therms |

###### Summer Coincident Peak Demand Savings

ΔkW = ((Capacitycool/DuctlessSave \* 1/EER2\_base) – (Capacitycool \* 1/EER2\_ee)) / 1000 \* CF

Where CF value is chosen between:

CFSSP = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Commercial cooling (during system peak hour)

= 91.3% [[26]](#footnote-27)

CFPJM = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Commercial cooling (average during peak period)

= 47.8% [[27]](#footnote-28)

**For example**, a 5 ton single phase split system air source heat pump, with an efficient EER2 of 12.5 with a building permit dated after 1/1/2023 saves:

ΔkW = ((60,000/1 \* 1/9.4) – (60,000 \* 1/12.5))/1000 \*0.913

= 1.44 kW

###### Fossil Fuel Savings

Calculation provided together with Electric Energy Savings above.

###### Water Impact Descriptions and Calculation

N/A

###### Deemed O&M Cost Adjustment Calculation

N/A

###### Cost Effectiveness Screening and Load Reduction Forecasting when Fuel Switching

This measure can involve fuel switching from gas to electric.

For the purposes of forecasting load reductions due to fuel switch ASHP projects per Section 16-111.5B, changes in site energy use at the customer’s meter (using ΔkWh algorithm below), customer switching estimates, NTG, and any other adjustment factors deemed appropriate, should be used.

The inputs to cost effectiveness screening should reflect the actual impacts on the electric and fuel consumption at the customer meter and, for fuel switching measures, should therefore reflect the decrease in one fuel and increase in another, as opposed to the single savings value calculated in the “Electric and Fossil Fuel Energy Savings” section above. Therefore in addition to the calculation of savings claimed, the following values should be used to assess the cost effectiveness of the measure. For Early Replacement measures, the efficiency terms of the existing unit should be used for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP and Central AC, 7 years for furnace, 8 years for boilers or GSHP, 15 years for electric resistance), and the efficiency terms for a new baseline unit should be used for the remaining years of the measure.

ΔTherms = [Heating Consumption Replaced]

= [(%FuelSwitch \* HeatLoad\_Disp/DuctlessSave \* 1/AFUEbase) / 100,000]

ΔkWh = [FurnaceFanSavings] - [HP heating consumption] + [Cooling savings]

For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr:

= %FuelSwitch \* [FurnaceFlag \* HeatLoad\_Disp/DuctlessSave \* 1/AFUEbase \* Fe \* 0.000293] - [(HeatLoad\_Disp \* (1/(HSPF2ee \* HSPF2\_ClimateAdj \* PD\_Adj))/1000] + [((CoolingLoad/DuctlessSave \* 1/SEER2base) - (CoolingLoad \* 1/SEER2ee))/1000]

For units with cooling capacities greater than 65 kBtu/hr:

= %FuelSwitch \* [FurnaceFlag \* HeatLoad\_Disp \* 1/AFUEbase \* Fe \* 0.000293] - [HeatLoad\_Disp/3412 \* 1/(COPee \* PD\_Adj)] + [(CoolingLoad \* (1/IEERbase - 1/IEERee))/1000]

###### Measure Code: CI-HVC-HPSY-V13-250101

###### Review Deadline: 1/1/2028

### 4.4.60 Variable Refrigerant Flow HVAC System

###### Description

This measure applies to the installation of air source Variable Refrigerant Flow (VRF) HVAC systems. VRF systems are heat pumps that have one outdoor condensing unit with refrigerant piped to multiple indoor evaporator units to deliver cooling and/or heating to individual interior zones as needed. This measure could apply to replacing an existing unit at the end of its useful life or the installation of a new unit in a new or existing building.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program times: TOS and NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

###### Definition of Efficient Equipment

This measure applies to both retrofit and new construction installations of VRF systems. Savings are based in the inherent efficiency of VRF systems as compared to traditional HVAC systems. VRF systems should meet or exceed ASHRAE 90.1 minimum efficiency requirements for air source VRF systems.

###### Definition of Baseline Equipment

**Time of Sale / New Construction**

Non-fuel switch measures:

To calculate savings with an electric baseline, the baseline equipment is assumed to be a ducted split-system heat pump for non-residential buildings 25,000 square feet or fewer and is 3 floors or fewer. For non-residential buildings over 25,000 square feet or 4 floors or higher, the baseline equipment is assumed to be a standard-efficiency air cooled heat pump roof top unit (RTU) system. For residential buildings types which utilize individual in-unit HVAC systems, such as multifamily, lodging, dormitories, etc., the baseline equipment is assumed to be a residential style standard-efficiency packaged terminal heat pump split system.

Fuel switch measures:

To calculate savings with a gas or fuel heating baseline, the baseline equipment is assumed to be single zone furnace and air-conditioning units for non-residential buildings 25,000 square feet or fewer and is 3 floors or fewer. For non-residential buildings over 25,000 square feet or taller than 3 floors, the baseline equipment is assumed to be a packaged variable-air-volume (VAV) system with DX cooling and hot water reheat. For residential buildings types which utilize individual in-unit HVAC systems, such as multifamily, lodging, dormitories, etc., the baseline equipment is assumed to be a packaged terminal air conditioner (PTAC) with hot-water radiator heating. If the residential building is 4 stories or more, the baseline system will be a water source heat pump (WSHP) system with a boiler and cooling tower.

Standard efficiency implies equipment that complies with Code energy efficiency requirements (IECC or Code of Federal Regulations, whichever is higher) in effect on the date of equipment purchase (if date unknown, assume current Code minimum). The rating conditions for the baseline and efficient equipment efficiencies must be equivalent. Note: IECC 2018 is baseline for all New Construction permits from July 1, 2019, and if permit date unknown. Note: new Federal Standards affecting heat pumps become effective January 1, 2023.

Baseline selection:

The following table can be used to determine the appropriate baseline HVAC system type.

|  | **Non-Fuel Switch** | **Fuel Switch** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Multifamily or Lodging, 3 floors or fewer | Packaged Terminal Heat Pump | PTAC w/ Hot Water Radiator |
| Multifamily or Lodging, 4 floors or more | Packaged Terminal Heat Pump | Water Source Heat Pump with Cooling Tower and Natural Gas Boiler |
| Non-residential <25,000 SF and 3 floors or fewer | Ducted-Split System Heat Pump | Packaged Single Zone (Furnace) + Air Conditioner |
| Non-Residential >25,000 SF OR more than 3 floors | Heat Pump RTU | Packaged VAV RTU with Hot Water Reheat |

###### Deemed Lifetime of Efficient Equipment

The expected measure life for VRF is 16 years[[28]](#footnote-29).

###### Deemed Measure Cost

**Time of Sale:** For analysis, the incremental capital costs are summarized in the following table. Site specific cost data should be used where available.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Baseline System** | **Incremental Cost ($/ton)** [[29]](#footnote-30) |
| Packaged Terminal Heat Pump | $610 |
| Ducted Split System Heat Pump  | $860 |
| Heat Pump RTU | $130 |
| PTAC w/ Hot Water Radiator | $160 |
| Water Source Heat Pump | $0 |
| Packaged Single Zone (Furnace) + Air Conditioner | $835 |
| Packaged VAV RTU with Hot Water Reheat | $540 |

###### Loadshape

Loadshape C05 – Commercial Electric Heating and Cooling

###### Coincidence Factor

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits. The second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM’s capacity market. Both values provided are based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| CFSSP | = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Commercial cooling (during system peak hour)= 91.3%[[30]](#footnote-31) |
| CFPJM  | = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Commercial cooling (average during peak period)= 47.8%[[31]](#footnote-32) |

Algorithm

###### Calculation of Energy Savings

###### Electric Energy Savings

Savings are calculated as a sum of system switching savings and efficiency savings, analogous to the following equation:

Annual Savings = [System Switch Savings] + [Savings from improved VRF efficiency]

For fuel-switching calculations, the above equation is used for calculating the cooling savings, while the heating savings are calculated by as the following:

Annual Savings = [Gas Heat Replaced x System Switch Adjustment Factor] + [Fan Savings] – [Heat Pump heat consumed x System Switch Adjustment Factor] + [Heat Pump Cooling \* System Switch Savings + Heat Pump Cooling Savings from improved VRF efficiency]

The system switching savings are calculated by multiplying the cooling or heating load (EFLH times heat or cool capacity) and multiplying it by system heating (Heatadj) and cooling (Cooladj) factors. These adjustment factors were calculated with energy model data of different building types. The VRF performance curves were calibrated based on independent field monitored data.

* The difference in building code baseline efficiency between VRF and the baseline system.
* The improved part load performance of the VRF inverter driven compressor compared to single‑stage or two‑stage compressors.
* Heat recovery mode savings from the VRF units.
* Decrease in energy from cooling towers (WSHP baseline) and water pumps (baseline systems with hot water and WSHP).
* WSHP electric heating and boiler fuel consumption (WSHP baseline).
* Differences in treating ventilation between mixed recirculating systems and VRF systems with dedicated outdoor air systems (Heat Pump RTU and VAV baseline systems only).

Savings from improved VRF efficiency is similar to other efficiency savings from other TRM measures, where savings are calculated as the load multiplied by the change in efficiency.

Non-fuel switch measures:

|  |
| --- |
| For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr: |
| ΔkWhAnnual kWh SavingscoolAnnual kWh SavingsheatFanSavings | = Annual kWh Savingscool + Annual kWh Savingsheat + FanSavings= [System Switch Savings] + [Savings from improved VRF efficiency]= (Cooladj \* Capacitycool \* EFLHcool /3,412)+ (Capacitycool \* EFLHcool \* (1/SEERbase – 1/SEERee))/1000= [System Switch Savings] + [Savings from improved VRF efficiency]= (Heatadj \* HeatLoad/3,412) + (HeatLoad \* (1/HSPFbase – 1/HSPFee))/1000= (Flag \* HeatLoad \* 1/AFUEbase \* Fe) / 3,412 |
| For units with cooling capacities equal to or greater than 65 kBtu/hr: |
| ΔkWhAnnual kWh SavingscoolAnnual kWh SavingsheatFanSavings | = Annual kWh Savingscool + Annual kWh Savingsheat + FanSavings= [System Switch Savings] + [Savings from improved VRF efficiency]= (Cooladj \* Capacitycool \* EFLHcool/3,412) + (Capacitycool \* EFLHcool \* (1/EERbase – 1/EERee))/1000= [System Switch Savings] + [Savings from improved VRF efficiency]= (Heatadj \* HeatLoad)/3,412 + (HeatLoad \* (1/COPbase – 1/COPee)) /3,412= (Flag \* HeatLoad \* 1/AFUEbase \* Fe) / 3,412 |

Fuel switch measures:

Fuel switch measures must produce positive total lifecycle site energy savings in order to qualify. This is determined as follows (note for early replacement measures the lifetime savings should be calculated by calculating savings for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment and for the remaining measure life):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| SiteEnergySavings (MMBTU)  | = GasHeatReplaced + FanSavings – HPSiteHeatConsumed + HPSiteCoolingImpact |
| GasHeatReplaced (MMBTU) | = (GasHeatadj \* HeatLoad \* 1/AFUEbase) / 1,000,000 |
| FanSavings (MMBTU)  | = (Flag \* HeatLoad \* 1/AFUEbase \* Fe) / 1,000,000 |
| For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr: |
| HPSiteHeatConsumed (MMBTU)  | = (Heatadj \* HeatLoad \* (1/HSPFee)) \* 3,412 / 1,000 / 1,000,000 |
| HPSiteCoolingImpact (MMBTU) | = [System Switch Savings] + [Savings from improved VRF efficiency]= ((Cooladj \* Capacitycool \* EFLHcool) / 1,000,000) + ((EFLHcool \* Capacitycool \* (1/SEERbase - 1/SEERee)) \* 3,412 / 1,000 / 1,000,000) |
| For units with cooling capacities greater than 65 kBtu/hr: |
| HPSiteHeatConsumed (MMBTU)  | = (Heatadj \* HeatLoad \* (1/COPee)) / 1,000,000 |
| HPSiteCoolingImpact (MMBTU)  | = [System Switch Savings] + [Savings from improved VRF efficiency]= ((Cooladj \* Capacitycool \* EFLHcool) / 1,000,000) + ((EFLHcool \* Capacitycool \* (1/IEER\_base - 1/IEER\_ee)) \* 3,412 /1,000 / 1,000,000) |

Savings are adjusted by heating (Heatadj) and cooling (Cooladj) factors presented in the following table. These values bring the expected savings in line with energy model estimated savings.

| **Baseline System** | **GasHeatadj** | **Cooladj** | **Heatadj** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Packaged Terminal Heat Pump | N/A | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Ducted Split System Heat Pump  | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Heat Pump RTU | N/A | -0.2 | 0.5 |
| PTAC w/ Hot Water Radiator | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| Water Source Heat Pump | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Packaged Single Zone (Furnace) + Air Conditioner | 1.4 | 0 | 1.6 |
| Packaged VAV RTU with Hot Water Reheat | 0.9 | -0.2 | 1.8 |

If SiteEnergySavings calculated above is positive, the measure is eligible. The appropriate savings claim is dependent on which utilities are supporting the measure as provided in a table below:

| **Measure supported by:** | **Electric Utility claims (kWh):** | **Gas Utility claims (therms):** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Electric utility only  | SiteEnergySavings \* 1,000,000/3,412 | N/A |
| Electric and gas utility(Note: utilities may make alternative agreements to how savings are allocated as long as total MMBtu savings remains the same). | %IncentiveElectric \* SiteEnergySavings \* 1,000,000/3,412 | %IncentiveGas \* SiteEnergySavings \* 10 |
| Gas utility only  | N/A | SiteEnergySavings \* 10 |

Where:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cooladj | = This cooling adjustment factor is derived from energy modeling results to calibrate TRM calculation savings to energy modeling savings estimates.[[32]](#footnote-33) Adjustment factor values are presented in a table above. |
| Heatadj | = This heating adjustment factor is derived from energy modeling results to calibrate TRM calculation savings to energy modeling savings estimates.[[33]](#footnote-34) Adjustment factor values are presented in a table above. |
| GasHeatadj | = This gas heating adjustment factor is derived from energy modeling results to calibrate TRM calculation savings to energy modeling savings estimates.[[34]](#footnote-35) Adjustment factor values are presented in a table above. |
| Capacitycool  | = input capacity of the cooling equipment in Btu per hour (1 ton of cooling capacity equals 12,000 Btu/hr).= Actual installed |
| SEERbase  | =Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of the baseline equipment= SEER from tables below, based on the applicable Code on the date of equipmentpurchase (if unknown assume current Code). |
| SEERee  | = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of the energy efficient equipment.= Actual installed |
| EFLHcool  | = Equivalent Full Load Hours for cooling in Existing Buildings or New Construction areprovided in section 4.4 HVAC End Use. |
| HSPFbase  | = Heating Seasonal Performance Factor of the baseline equipment= HSPF from tables below, based on the applicable Code on the date of equipmentpurchase (if unknown assume current Code). |
| HSPFee  | = Heating Seasonal Performance Factor of the energy efficient equipment.= Actual installed. If rating is COP, HSPF = COP \* 3.413 |
| IEERbase | = Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio of the baseline equipment= IEER from tables below, based on the applicable Code on the date of equipment purchase (if unknown assume current Code).  |
| IEERee  | = Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio of the energy efficient equipment. = Actual installed |
| HeatLoad  | = Calculated heat load for the building= EFLHheat \* Capacityheat |
|  | Where: |
|  | EFLHheat = heating mode equivalent full load hours in Existing Buildings or New Construction are provided in section 4.4 HVAC End Use.Capacityheat = Actual installed input capacity of the heat pump equipment in Btu per hour. |
| 3412 | = Btu per kWh. |
| COPbase | = coefficient of performance of the baseline equipment= COP from tables below, based on the applicable Code on the date of equipment purchase (if unknown assume current Code).If rating is HSPF, COP = HSPF / 3.413 |
| COPee | = coefficient of performance of the energy efficient equipment.= Actual installed. If rating is HSPF, COP = HSPF / 3.413 |
| AFUEbase | = Baseline Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating. Use appropriate code level efficiency. |
| Flag | = 1 if system replaced is an RTU or ducted system with furnace fan, 0 if not. |
| Fe  | = Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption= 7.7% for RTU replacement, 3%for multifamily (residential style) furnace replacement**[[35]](#footnote-37)** |
| %IncentiveElectric  | = % of total incentive paid by electric utility= Actual |
| %IncentiveGas  | = % of total incentive paid by gas utility= Actual |



**Non Fuel Switch example,** a heat recovery VRF system with 8 ton cooling capacity and 96 kbtu heating capacity, an efficient EER of 12.5 and COP of 3.75, at a new construction low-rise office in Chicago saves:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ΔkWh | = Annual kWh Savingscool + Annual kWh Savingsheat + FanSavings |
| Annual kWh SavingscoolAnnual kWh SavingsheatFanSavings | = (Cooladj \* Capacitycool \* EFLHcool / 3412) + (Capacitycool \* EFLHcool \* (1/EERbase – 1/EERee))/1000= (Heatadj \* Heat Load / 3412) + (HeatLoad \* (1/COPbase – 1/(COPee))/3412= (Flag \* HeatLoad \* 1/AFUEbase \* Fe) / 3412 |
| ΔkWh | = (0.0 \* 96000 \* 989/3,412) + (96000 \* 989 \* (1/10.8 – 1/12.5)/1000 + (0.0 \* 916 \* 60000/3412) + (916 \* 60000 \* (1/3.3 – 1/3.75) / 3412) + (1 \* 916 \* 60000 \* 1/0.8 \* 0.077) / 3412 |
| ΔkWh | = 3332 kWh |

 **Fuel Switch example,** a heat recovery VRF system with 8-ton cooling capacity and 96 kbtu heating capacity, an efficient EER of 12.5 and COP of 3.75, at a new construction low-rise office in Chicago, assuming a packaged single zone (furnace) and air conditioner baseline. Assuming 50%-50% Incentive agreement is used for joint programs, savings:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| SiteEnergySavings (MMBTUs)  | = GasHeatReplaced + FanSavings – HPSiteHeatConsumed + HPSiteCoolingImpact |
| GasHeatReplaced  | = (GasHeatadj \* HeatLoad \* 1/AFUEbase) / 1,000,000 |
|   | = 1.4 \* (96000 \* 916 \* 1/0.8) / 1000000 |
|  | = 153.9 MMBtu |
| FanSavings  | = (Flag \* HeatLoad \* 1/AFUEbase \* Fe) / 1,000,000 |
|  | = (1 \* 96000 \* 916 \* 1/0.8 \* 0.030) / 1000000 |
|  | = 3.30 MMBtu |
| For units with cooling capacities greater than 65 kBtu/hr: |
| HPSiteHeatConsumed  | = (Heatadj \* HeatLoad \* (1/COPee)) / 1,000,000 |
|  | = (1.6 \* 96000 \* 916 \* (1/3.75)) / 1,000,000 |
|  | = 37.9 MMBtu |
| HPSiteCoolingImpact  | = (Cooladj \* Capacitycool \* EFLHcool / 1,000,000) \* (FLHcool \* Capacitycool \* (1/IEERbase - 1/IEERee)) \* 3,412 / 1,000 / 1,000,000 |
|  | = ((0.0 \* 989 \* 96000)/1,000,000) + (989 \* 96000 \* (1/10.8-1/12.5)) \* 3,412 / 1,000 / 1,000,000 |
|  | = 4.1 MMBtu |
| SiteEnergySavings (MMBTUs)  | = 153.9 + 3.30 – 37.9 + 4.1 = 123.3 [Measure is eligible] |

Savings would be claimed as follows, assuming a 50%-50% incentive agreement:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Measure supported by:** | **Electric Utility claims (kWh):** | **Gas Utility claims (therms):** |
| Electric utility only  | 123.3 \* 1,000,000/3,412= 36,148 kWh | N/A |
| Electric and gas utility(Note utilities may make alternative agreements to how savings are allocated as long as total MMBtu savings remains the same). | 0.5 \* 123.3 \* 1,000,000/3,412= 18,074 kWh | 0.5 \* 88.9 \* 10= 617 therms |
| Gas utility only  | N/A | 123.3 \* 10= 1,233 therms |

###### Summer Coincident Peak Demand Savings

ΔkW = (Capacitycool / 1,000 \* (1/EERbase – 1/EERee)) \*CF

Where:

 EERbase = Energy Efficiency Ratio of the baseline equipment

= EER from tables below, based on the applicable Code on the date of equipment purchase (if unknown assume current Code). For air-cooled units < 65 kBtu/hr, assume the following conversion from SEER to EER for calculation of peak savings[[36]](#footnote-38):

EER = (-0.02 \* SEER2) + (1.12 \* SEER)

EERee = Energy Efficiency Ratio of the energy efficient equipment. For air-cooled units < 65 kBtu/hr, if the actual EERee is unknown, assume the conversion from SEER to EER as provided above.

= Actual installed

CF value is chosen between:

CFSSP = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Commercial cooling (during system peak hour)

 = 91.3%

CFPJM = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Commercial cooling (average during peak period)

 = 47.8%

**For example**, a heat recovery VRF system with 8-ton cooling capacity and 96 kbtu heating capacity, an efficient EER of 12.5, saves:

ΔkW = (96,000/1,000 \* (1/10.8 – 1/12.5)) \*0.913

 = 1.1 kW

###### Fossil Fuel Savings

Calculation provided together with Electric Energy Savings above.

###### Water and Other Non-Energy Impact Descriptions and Calculation

N/A

###### Deemed O&M Cost Adjustment Calculation

N/A

 **COST EFFECTIVENESS SCREENING AND LOAD REDUCTION FORECASTING WHEN FUEL SWITCHING**

This measure can involve fuel switching from gas to electric.

For the purposes of forecasting load reductions due to fuel switch ASHP projects per Section 16-111.5B, changes in
site energy use at the customer’s meter (using ΔkWh algorithm below), customer switching estimates, NTG, and any other adjustment factors deemed appropriate, should be used.

The inputs to cost effectiveness screening should reflect the actual impacts on the electric and fuel consumption at the customer meter and, for fuel switching measures, should therefore reflect the decrease in one fuel and increase in another, as opposed to the single savings value calculated in the “Electric and Fossil Fuel Energy Savings” section above. Therefore in addition to the calculation of savings claimed, the following values should be used to assess the cost effectiveness of the measure.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ΔTherms  | = [Heating Consumption Replaced]= [GasHeatAdj \* HeatLoad \* 1/AFUEbase) / 100,000] |
| ΔkWh  | = [FurnaceFanSavings] - [HP heating consumption] + [Cooling savings] |
| For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr: |
| ΔkWh | = [FurnaceFlag \* HeatLoad \* 1/AFUEbase \* Fe \* 0.000293] - [HeatAdj \* HeatLoad/3412 \* (1/(COPee ))/1000] + [Cooladj \* (Capacitycool \* EFLHcool \* (1/EERbase - 1/EER\_ee))/1000] |
| For units with cooling capacities greater than 65 kBtu/hr: |
| ΔkWh | = [FurnaceFlag \* HeatLoad \* 1/AFUEbase \* Fe \* 0.000293] - [Heatadj \* HeatLoad/3412 \* (1/COPee)]+ [Cooladj \* (Capacitycool \* EFLHcool \* (1/IEERbase - 1/IEER\_ee))/1000] |

###### Measure Code: CI-HVC-VFFY-V4-250101

###### Review Deadline: 1/1/2026

1. Consortium for Energy Efficiency (CEE), Testing, Testing, M1, 2, 3, Transitioning to New Federal Minimum Standards, CEE Summer Program Meeting, August, 2022. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. Consistent with Residential assumptions – should be updated with Commercial data when available. Program tracking data from ComEd and Ameren between 2018 and 2020 was used to develop these assumptions. During this period the air source heat pump programs operated downstream and projects were classified as Time of Sale or Early Replacement. Note that any fuel switch scenario at the time would have been classified as Time of Sale and therefore the rates provided likely represent a low estimate of the true early replacement rates. In the absence of alternative data, the TAC agreed to apply these rates and the deemed full v partial displacement assumptions listed, but these assumptions should be revisited through future evaluation. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. Consistent with Residential measure and based on 2016 DOE Rulemaking Technical Support document, as recommended in Guidehouse ‘ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report’, May 2018. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. Assumed to be one third of effective useful life of replaced equipment. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. Assume full measure life (16 years) for replacing electric resistance as we would not expect that resistance heat would fail during the lifetime of the efficient measure. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. Based on a review of TRM incremental cost assumptions from Vermont, Wisconsin, and California. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
7. Full install ASHP costs are based upon data provided by Ameren. See ‘ASHP Costs\_06242022’. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
8. Furnace and boiler costs are based on data provided in Appendix E of the Appliance Standards Technical Support Documents including equipment cost and installation labor. Where efficiency ratings are not provided, the values are interpolated from those that are. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
9. Based on 3 ton initial cost estimate for a conventional unit from ENERGY STAR Central AC calculator [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
10. The cost per ton table provides reasonable estimates for installation costs of DMSHP, which can vary significantly due to requirements of the home. It is estimated that all units, even those 1 ton or less will be at least $2000 to install. [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
11. Full costs based upon full install cost of an ASHP plus incremental costs provided in Memo from Opinion Dynamics Evaluation Team, Ductless Mini-Split Heat Pumps: Incremental Cost Analysis, April 27, 2017. [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
12. Memo from Opinion Dynamics Evaluation Team, Ductless Mini-Split Heat Pumps: Incremental Cost Analysis, April 27, 2017 [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
13. All baseline replacement costs are consistent with their respective measures and include inflation rate of 1.91%. [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
14. Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year. [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
15. Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year. [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
16. Blended Baseline value came from percentage of accounts with heat pumps (40.17%) at 7.5 HSPF2 and electric furnaces (59.83%) at 3.41 HSPF as reported in the ComEd Baseline Study August 14, 2020. [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
17. Adjustment factors are based on findings from NEEA, July 2020 ‘EXP07:19 Load-based and Climate-Specific Testing and Rating Procedures for Heat Pumps and Air Conditioners’. See ‘NEEA HP data’ for calculation. Findings were consistent with other reviewed sources including ASHRAE, 2020 ‘Right-Sizing Electric Heat Pump and Auxiliary Heating for Residential Heating Systems Based on Actual Performance Associated with Climate Zone’ and Cadmus, 2022 ‘Residential ccASHP Building Electrification Study’. The difference between HSPF and HSPF2 ratings is based on the change in testing procedure that will correct for some of this effect where ducted systems will have an approximately 9% lower HSPF2 rating as compared to HSPF, based on CEE presentation, July 2022, ‘Testing Testing, M1, 2, 3: Transitioning to New Federal Minimum Standards’. [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
18. Weighting for Ameren is based on electric heat accounts in each of the heating zones. Weighting for ComEd and Statewide average is based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone. ComEd is weighted average of Zones 1-2. Alternative program-weighted assumptions can be used if appropriate. [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
19. Based on Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder. Note this is appropriate for single speed units only. [↑](#footnote-ref-20)
20. Values based on Morehead Energy 2024 analysis of TMYx typical hourly weather data for 2007-2021. See 'ASHP Partial Displacement Analysis 20240611\_HDD55.xlsx'. [↑](#footnote-ref-21)
21. Weighting for Ameren is based on electric heat accounts in each of the heating zones. Weighting for ComEd and Statewide average is based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone. ComEd is weighted average of Zones 1-2. Alternative program-weighted assumptions can be used if appropriate. [↑](#footnote-ref-22)
22. Values based on Morehead Energy 2024 analysis of TMYx typical hourly weather data for 2007-2021. See 'ASHP Partial Displacement Analysis 20240611\_HDD55.xlsx'. [↑](#footnote-ref-23)
23. Weighting for Ameren is based on electric heat accounts in each of the heating zones. Weighting for ComEd and Statewide average is based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone. ComEd is weighted average of Zones 1-2. Alternative program-weighted assumptions can be used if appropriate. [↑](#footnote-ref-24)
24. Fe is estimated using TRM models for the three most popular building types for programmable thermostats: low-rise office (10.2%), sit-down restaurant (8.6%), and retail – strip mall (4.4%). 7.7% reflects the average Fe of the three building types. See “Fan Energy Factor Example Calculation 2021-06-23.xlsx” for reference. [↑](#footnote-ref-25)
25. Code of Federal Regulations: Table 3 to §431.97—Updates to the Minimum Cooling Efficiency Standards for Air Conditioning and Heating Equipment and Table 4 to §431.97—Updates to the Minimum Heating Efficiency Standards for Air-Cooled Air Conditioning and Heating Equipment [Heat Pumps]. For 1/1/2024 compliance dates, note these manufacturing and import federal standards go into effect on 1/1/2023. The measure characterization is recommending delaying adopting these standards until 1/1/2024. [↑](#footnote-ref-26)
26. Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year. [↑](#footnote-ref-27)
27. Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year. [↑](#footnote-ref-28)
28. Consistent with Residential air source heat pump measure and based on a 2016 DOE Rulemaking Technical Support document, as recommended in Guidehouse ‘ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report’, May 2018. [↑](#footnote-ref-29)
29. Estimated measure incremental costs for PTHP, HP RTU and PTAC based other incremental costs and differences in installed cost from U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), Updated Buildings Sector Appliance and Equipment Costs and Efficiencies: <https://www.eia.gov/analysis/studies/buildings/equipcosts/pdf/full.pdf>. For Ducted Split HP, Packaged Single Zone Furnace + AC and Packaged VAV RTU is based on Mid-Atlantic Technical Reference Manual version 9, Variable Refrigerant Flow (VRF) Heat Pump Systems measure. Published October, 2019. Water-source HP systems estimated from data collected from manufacturers. Water-source heat pump systems were not very different compared to VRF systems. [↑](#footnote-ref-30)
30. Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The AC load during the utility’s peak hour is divided by the maximum AC load during the year. [↑](#footnote-ref-31)
31. Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year. [↑](#footnote-ref-32)
32. Based on Variable Refrigerant Flow Study. See ‘Variable Refrigerant Flow Study 2023’. [↑](#footnote-ref-33)
33. Based on Variable Refrigerant Flow Study. See ‘Variable Refrigerant Flow Study 2023’. [↑](#footnote-ref-34)
34. Based on Variable Refrigerant Flow Study. See ‘Variable Refrigerant Flow Study 2023’. [↑](#footnote-ref-35)
35. Fe is estimated using TRM models for the three building types: low-rise office, sit-down restaurant and retail-strip mall. 7.7% represents the average Fe of the three building types. See “Fan Energy Factory Example Calculation 2021-06-23.xlsx” for reference. Mutlifamily is 3%, lower than commercial, due to typically lower fan static pressure in residential style applications. [↑](#footnote-ref-37)
36. Based on Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder. Note this is appropriate for single speed units only. [↑](#footnote-ref-38)