Non-Financially Interested Parties'* Response to Peoples Gas/North Shore Draft 2026-2029 Energy Efficiency Plan

October 30, 2024 SAG Meeting

^{*}NRDC, Illinois Attorney General, National Consumer Law Center, City of Chicago, Aces 4 Youth, Dark Sky Initiative, People for Community Recovery, Blacks in Green, ICC Staff**

^{**}ICC Staff participating in discussion and negotiations only as a non-stakeholder, and will not be a signatory to any stipulation coming out of negotiations.

Things We'd Like to Change and/or Have Questions About...



Portfolio-level costs

- Significantly inflated (doubling of) PGL Portfolio-level budget, as compared to existing plan, is unexplained and a non-starter;
- Entire 2026-2029 budget is increasing by \$5 million, with \$4 million of that amount going to Portfolio-level costs.
- This significant increase is unnecessary and should be reallocated to programs
- This budget item should remain static as a percentage of the budget, at a maximum.

Peoples Gas Portfolio Level Budget Costs Current vs. 2026-2029 Plan – 99% increase

Portfolio-Level Costs by Portfolio Cost Category (Section 8-103B/8-104 EEPS)	PY2023 Actuals		Proposed '26-'29 Plan Annual Avgs
Research and Development - Demonstration of Breakthrough Equipment and Devices Costs	\$	297,768	\$1,034,900
Market Transformation Programs	\$	288,322	\$1,336,500
Evaluation Costs	\$	1,169,024	\$1,034,900
Marketing Costs (including education and outreach)	\$	628,862	\$1,379,900
Portfolio Administrative Costs	\$	1,762,370	\$3,449,800
Total Peoples Gas Portfolio-Level Costs	\$	4,146,347	\$8,236,000

North Shore Portfolio Level Budget Costs Current vs. 2026-2029 Plan – 44% increase

Portfolio-Level Costs by Portfolio Cost Category (Section 8-103B/8-104 EEPS)	PY2023 Actuals		Proposed '26-'29 Plan Annual Avgs
Research and Development - Demonstration of Breakthrough Equipment and Devices Costs	\$	56,718	\$150,000
Market Transformation Programs	\$	54,918	\$193,367
Evaluation Costs	\$	164,839	\$150,000
Marketing Costs (including education and outreach)	\$	146,849	\$200,000
Portfolio Administrative Costs	\$	412,439	\$510,000
Total North Shore Gas Portfolio-Level Costs	\$	835,763	\$1,203,367

IQ Spend – Increase Needed

Given the high rate of unaffordability in PGL service territory (record-setting rate increase granted in 2023), additional IQ spending is necessary and supported by demographic data.

- PGL/NS should add \$1.3 million to IQ budget to have the increase in percentage terms equal to the total % budget increase over the existing four-year plan.
- We'd like to see increased allocation to weatherization both SF and MF, with emphasis on installation of building envelope measures, and major measure installs by ComEd. (Need to review batch files to assess measure assumptions.)
- Exception for installation of gas furnaces in income-eligible situations when furnace no longer working, or requires significant maintenance or health and safety hazard

IQ Spend – Increase Needed

Recommendation for budget shift to achieve that goal:

- ➤ Reallocate dollars from Portfolio-level costs
- ➤ Additional budget dollars from R&D.
- ➤ Additional budget dollars from market transformation
- ➤ Eliminate elementary school kits and reallocate to IQ weatherization
 - Kit measure in-service rate unknown, particularly for gas measures

Savings Goals

 Must assume some leveraging of IRA HOMES rebates (weatherization measures);

Work jointly with ComEd on the leveraging/braiding effort

 Need to see batch files (with individual measure cost and savings assumptions) to make further recommendations

Residential (Market Rate) Programs

- No gas heat pump installations in residential applications*
- No gas furnaces in market rate (<u>non</u>-income-qualified) residential applications
- We'd like to see significant ramp-up of market-rate weatherization measures (without negatively impacting IQ weatherization budget allocation);
- Emphasis should be on building envelope measures for moderate income areas

^{*}IL AG's office still assessing this

Market Development Initiative (MDI)

- Appreciate the continued commitment to the MDI program. However, as structured, stakeholders and customers have no understanding of MDI achievements relative to goals or metrics.
- ➤ Recommend that northern IL utilities (ComEd, PGL/NS, and Nicor) create a joint MDI program
 - Makes no economic or practical sense to have 3 separate approaches to building a more diverse workforce and implementation opportunities
- Need specific metrics and goals relative to budget to be created, with stakeholder input
- ➤ Need for implementer/trade ally ombudsman role, as previously requested

Business and Public Sector Programs

- ➤ Need batch files to be able to provide more specific comments about programs and measures
- ➤ Slide 26 of Draft Plan suggests that your small business program is gas-only; should be a joint program, with focus on shell measures
- ➤ Public Sector: Stakeholders would like a breakdown on public sector program content by type of public building, i.e., schools, libraries, municipal buildings, etc.
- ➤ No new combined heat and power (CHP) measures*

^{*}IL AG still assessing this

Next steps

 We look forward to reviewing batch files to better understand assumed measure mix, and assumed cost per therm

Look forward to negotiations